

INDEX

A

- Abbreviations for behavior symptoms, 60
- Absolute declarations, 281
- Abuse, 37–38
- Access
 - opportunity question, 158–159
 - people with unusual, 27–28
- Accidents
 - intent issues, 39–40
 - representing crimes as, 219
- Accomplices
 - complicity in crime, 26–27
 - determining identity with assumptive question, 177
 - playing one co-offender against the other, 246–250
 - pointing out futility of lying, 245
 - sympathizing with suspect by condemning, 224–230
- Accuracy of corroboration, 356–360
- Accusations of guilt
 - anonymous reports, 15
 - direct, positive confrontation, 192–193
 - false, 35–37
 - in interrogation, 5
 - justification for, 200–202
 - misleading symptoms following, 198–200
 - stepping down, 268
- Active persuasion, 5
- Adaptor behavior
 - defined, 128
 - hand gestures, 130–134
- Addiction, 215
- Addressing subjects
 - by first name, 193
 - investigator demeanor, 61–62
- Admissibility of confession.
 - See also* Interrogation and confession law
 - historical context for voluntariness, 415–421
 - questions for establishing, 391–393
- Admissions
 - evaluating truthfulness, 237
 - presenting alternative question, 293–294
 - seeking admission of lying, 240–241
 - turning into confessions, 303–304
- Adolescent offenders, 254–255
- Adversarial court system, 382–383, 397
- Aggressive power crimes, 23
- Alcohol use
 - in coerced internalized confessions, 342
 - as guilt-diminishing factor, 214–215
- Alibis
 - checking, 18
 - eliciting with open question, 86–87
 - evaluating memory, 179–181
 - investigative questions, 156
 - using bait questions to evaluate, 175–176
- Alter-ego defense, 251–252
- Alternative question, presenting.
 - See* Presenting alternative question
- Amnesia, 359
- Analyzing behavior. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
- Anecdotal reports, 362–363
- Angry subjects
 - approach for interviewing, 63
 - both truthful and deceptive, 143
- Anonymous reports, 15
- Anticipating denials, 258–260
- Antisocial personalities, 146–149
- Anxious behavior
 - analyzing, 109
 - evaluating nonverbal behavior, 121–122
 - evaluating verbal behavior, 111–112
 - on the stand, 384
- Apologetic denials, 269–271
- Appearance on the stand, 383–384
- Approval, 230
- Argument against objections, 279
- Argument against self-interest, 244
- Arizona v. Fulminante*, 417, 437
- Armed officers, 68
- Arson
 - blaming anyone upon whom moral responsibility can be placed, 227–228
 - blaming insurance companies, 229
 - common distorted motives, 216
 - principles for investigation, 31
 - suggesting more morally acceptable motivation, 214
- Ashcraft v. Tennessee*, 416, 436
- Asking questions. *See* Questions
- Assaults
 - condemning victim, 222
 - sexual. *See* Sexual offenses
 - victim interviews, 11–12
- Assumptions
 - in alternative questions, 296
 - assumptive questions, 176–178
 - deceptive responses which rely on, 91
 - of guilt/innocence in interview procedure, 57
 - of offender non-emotionality, 186
- Attention, procuring and retaining suspect's. *See* Procurement and retention of suspect's attention
- Attitude
 - asking bait question, 172
 - in emotional vs. non-emotional offenders, 185–186
 - evaluating subject's, 108–111
 - during interrogation, 192
 - of investigator as witness, 384–385

- Attitude (*contd.*)
 investigator conduct during interrogation, 65–69
 investigator demeanor during interview, 57–62
 investigator during theme development, 206–207
 investigator personality, 55–56
 patience and persistence, 329–333
- Attitude question
 actual case presentation, 164, 166
 defined, 159
- Attorney, right to, 404–407
- Attributes of investigator, 55–56
- Audio monitoring of interviews, 47–51
- Auto theft, 216
- B**
- Background information
 in BAI, 155
 establishing rapport, 78
 specifically valuable for investigators, 15–18
 subject data sheet, 140–141
- BAI (behavior analysis interview)
 actual case presentation, 163–167
 analyzing subject responses, 167–169
 bait question, 171–176
 footnotes, 169
 introduction, 153
 overview, 153–154
 response models for behavior-provoking questions, 154–163
- Baiting
 in cross-examination, 396
 to establish suspect's presence at crime scene, 242–243
 specialized questioning techniques, 171–176
- Bargaining statements, 274–275
- Beckwith v. United States*, 374, 432
- Bedau, A., 340, 341
- Behavior
 abbreviations for symptoms, 60
 behavioral pause, 195–198
 intent issues, 39
 monitoring interviews, 48–50
 response to introductory statements, 79
 suspect's interview, 4–5
 victim truthfulness, 28–29
- Behavior analysis interview (BAI).
See BAI (behavior analysis interview)
- Behavior symptom analysis
 evaluating nonverbal behavior.
See Nonverbal behavior
 evaluating paralinguistic behavior, 117–121
 evaluating subject's attitudes, 108–111
 evaluating verbal behavior, 111–117
 footnotes, 136–137
 identifying proper theme through, 207–208
 of juvenile offenders, 250
 misleading symptoms
 following confrontation, 198–200
 overview, 101–104
 underlying principles, 104–108
 using responses in interrogation, 188
 witness's responses to questions, 385–390
- Behavior symptom analysis precautions
 behaviors common to both truthful and deceptive subjects, 142–143
 conclusion, 152
 factors leading to misinterpretation, 144–152
 initial assessment of subject, 139–141
 overview, 139
- Behavior-provoking questions
 defined, 154–155
 response models for, 154–163
- Berghuis v. Thompkins*, 409, 434, 435
- Berkemer v. McCarty*, 433, 435
- Biased information, 13–14
- Biological needs, 347–348
- Blakeney v. State*, 433
- Blame
 accomplice, 224–227
 themes for juvenile offenders, 250–251
 victim, 220–224
- Bliss v. United States*, 434
- Bluffing, 246–250
- Bolstering phrases
 defined, 116
 in denial from guilty, 265
- Booking questions, 400
- Boredom, 250
- Boyer v. State*, 377
- Break in custody, 404
- Breath odor, 65–66
- Brewer v. Williams*, 400–401, 440
- Bribery, 216
- Broad denials
 evaluating verbal behavior, 113–114
 investigator testimony, 388–389
- Burglaries. *See also* Robberies
 common distorted motives, 216
 evaluating crime characteristics, 26–27
- C**
- California v. Bebler*, 432
- California v. Prysock*, 430
- Canadian Supreme Court, 422–423
- Carr v. State*, 433
- Case facts
 conclusion, 18
 fact analysis, 9–14
 footnotes, 19
 specific information for investigators, 15–18
- Case solution
 conclusion, 32–33
 evaluating crime characteristics, 24–30
 evaluating motives, 22–23
 footnotes, 33
 general suggestions, 30–32
 overview, 21–22
- Chair proximity. *See also* Seating
 arranging interview room, 47–48
 for getting suspect's attention, 283–284
- Challenging questions, 96
- Channels of communication, 105–106
- Character
 degrading victim's, 222
 determining suspect, 196–198
 recognizing good in suspect, 68–69

- Charlton, Donald, 402
Chavez v. Martinez, 402–403, 432
 Chicago Police Scientific Crime Detection Laboratory, 102
 Child abuse
 common distorted motives, 216
 repressed memories of, 37–38
 Child subjects
 behavior analysis in, 149–150
 interrogation law, 418–421
 precautions when dealing with, 254–255
 Child victims
 blaming, 221
 eyewitness identifications and false accusations, 36–37
 interviewing, 15
 introductory statement for, 81–82
 Chronology of account, 91
 Circumstantial evidence
 evaluating crime characteristics, 29
 as likely indicator of guilt, 13
 protecting the innocent, 40–41
 Clarification
 asking follow-up questions, 97–99
 open account, 92–93
 of request for counsel, 405–406
 Classification of offenders, 185–189
 Closed posture, 125
 Closed questions, 86
 Clothing
 investigator, 57–58
 on the stand, 383–384
 Coerced compliant confessions, 340
 Coerced internalized confessions
 defined, 341–342
 trustworthiness, 350–351
 Coercion
 confession voluntariness, 416–417
 defined, 343–344
 duress, 347–348
 interrogation law, 425–426
 statistics, 366
 Cognitive interviewing
 defined, 153
 reverse recall, 181
Collins v. Gaetz, 438
Colorado v. Spring, 427, 434
Commonwealth v. DiGiambattista, 427
Commonwealth v. Edwards, 440
Commonwealth v. Fournier, 440
Commonwealth v. Martinez, 435
Commonwealth v. Novo, 440
Commonwealth v. Robinson, 371
Commonwealth v. Williams, 437, 439
 Communication
 interrogator qualifications, 65
 nonverbal, 122–123
 three levels of, 105
 through behavior, 101
 Compassion for suspect, 67
 Competency issue, 418–421
 Complicity, 26–27
 Complimenting suspect, 230–232
 Compound questions, 95
 Concealing interview, 162–163
 Concerned attitude, 110
 Condemning accomplice, 224–227
 Condemning victim, 220–224
 Conduct during interrogation
 investigator, 65–69
 professional, 192
 Confessions
 assumptive questions and, 178
 avoiding impression of seeking, 67
 common distorted motives, 216–217
 converting oral into written.
 See Converting oral confession into written confession
 eliciting corroborated, 306–308
 having suspect orally relate various details of offense, 303–310
 intent issues, 39–40
 interrogator qualifications, 64–65
 law. *See* Interrogation and confession law
 need for privacy, 43–45
 playing co-offenders against each other, 246–250
 purpose of interrogation, 5–6
 recording, 50–51
 solving cases through, 32–33
 testifying on. *See* Testifying on confession
 in voluntary interviews, 76
 Confessions, distinguishing
 between true and false
 categories of false, 340–343
 corroboration, 354–362
 false confessions in courtroom, 367–372
 footnotes, 373–377
 interrogation research, 362–367
 overview, 339–340
 trustworthiness, 348–354
 voluntariness, 343–348
 Confidence
 assessing with results question, 162
 investigator, 192, 206
 Confrontation. *See* Direct, positive confrontation
 Confrontation statement, 193–195
Connelly vs. U.S., 373
 Conscience
 in emotional vs. non-emotional offenders, 185–186
 voluntary false confessions and, 340–341
 Consent for interrogation, 409–410
 Consequences
 in alternative questions, 295
 asking rhetorical questions, 287
 minimizing reminders in interview room, 45–46
 overbearing subject's free will, 343–344
 pointing out grave, 238–239
 punishment question, 160–161
 responding to requests about, 274–275
 Consistency
 between channels of communication, 106
 evaluating nonverbal behavior, 136
 Conspiracy by prosecutor, 394–395
 Constitutional rights. *See* *Miranda* rights
 Content of statements, 288–290
 Controlled environment, 5
 Converting oral confession into written confession
 defined, 189

- Converting oral confession into written confession (*contd.*)
electronically recorded interviews, 325
importance of documentation, 310–311
post-confession interviews, 323–324
preparation and form of written confession, 312–321
principles, 310
safeguarding effectiveness of confession, 321–323
warning of constitutional rights, 311–312
- Convictions, 67
- Co-offenders. *See* Accomplices
- Cooper v. Dupnik*, 69, 405
- Cooperative attitude, 110–111
- Cooperative witnesses or informants, 334–335
- Corley v. United States*, 411, 416
- Cornelison v. Motley*, 433
- Correction of errors in confession, 317
- Corroboration
distinguishing between true and false confessions, 354–362
eliciting corroborated confession, 306–308
evaluating voluntary confessions, 349–350
intent issues and, 40
- County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 435
- Courage to Heal* (Bass and Davis), 37
- Courtroom
decisions on expert witness testimony, 370–372
false confessions in, 367–372
testifying on confession. *See* Testifying on confession
view of witness, 382–385
- Credibility of investigator as witness, 382–383
- Credibility question
actual case presentation, 164, 166
defined, 158
- Crimes
arson. *See* Arson
confining confession to single, 320
evaluating characteristics, 22–23
homicide. *See* Homicide
pointing out futility of, 238–239
sexual offenses. *See* Sexual offenses
theft. *See* Theft cases
- Criminal intent, 217–218
- Criminal Investigation* (Gross), 295
- Criminal responsibility, 205
- Crossed arms, 125, 126
- Cross-examination, 393–397
- Crying, 292–293
- Culombe v. Connecticut*, 417
- Culture
eye contact and, 135
misinterpretation of behavior, 150–151
suspect language and, 333
- Custodial suspects
interview preparation, 74
legally defined, 402–404
preparing for interrogation, 191
rights of. *See* *Miranda* rights
- D**
- Dark glasses, 135–136
- Dassey, Brendan, 367
- Data sheet, 140–141
- Davis v. United States*, 405
- Deception
behavior symptom analysis. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
false confessions and, 351–352
independent detection, 79
interrogation and confession law, 426–429
during interview, 4–5
silence during interview, 60
- Deceptive responses
evaluating open question, 89–91
evaluating verbal behavior, 112
handling evasive, 97–98
to open question, 86–87
responding to, 274–276
- Deceptive subjects
anger in, 63
behaviors common to both truthful subjects and, 142–143
catching in lie, 62
response models for behavior-provoking questions, 155–163
- Definitive responses, 114
- Degnan, Suzanne, 40–41, 43
- Delap v. State*, 434
- Delay in presentment of arrestee before judicial officer, 410–411
- Delayed responses
evaluating paralinguistic behavior, 117–118
investigator testimony, 387
- Delivery, 119
- Delusions, 145–146
- Demeanor
during interrogation, 192
during interview, 57–62
of investigator as witness, 383–384
investigator in response to passive mood, 290–291
- Denials
bait technique, 172
evaluating verbal behavior, 113–114
handling. *See* Handling denials
of incidentals, 360
vs. objections, 276–277
persistence in face of, 331–332
- Dependent corroboration, 354–355
- Depression, 145
- Derogatory labels, 62
- Despair, 143
- Details
absence of corroborating, 358
in alternative questions, 297
avoiding specificity in testimony, 389
of confession, 314
having suspect orally relate various details of offense, 303–310
indications of deception, 89–90
indications of truthfulness, 89–91
memory evaluation, 179–181

- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV), 38
- Dialogue
 cross-examination, 393–397
 elements in Step 3, 262
 elements in Step 4, 280
- Dickerson v. United States*, 416, 436
- Direct, positive confrontation
 behavioral pause, 195–198
 defined, 188
 handling denials following, 257–258
 justification for, 200–202
 misleading behavior symptoms following, 198–200
 principles, 192–193
 procedures, 193–195
- Direct questions
 formulating, 93–96
 history/you question, 156–157
- Direct testimony, 390–393
- Discrepancies in accounts
 in cross-examination, 397
 memory evaluation, 180–181
- Distinguishing between true and false confessions. *See* Confessions, distinguishing between true and false
- Distorted motives in confessions, 216–217
- Distractions in interview room, 47
- Documentation
 converting oral confession into written confession, 310–311
 interview sheet outline, 76–77
 note-taking, 60
 testimony preparation, 380–381
- Doyle v. Ohio*, 435
- Dress
 in interviews, 57–58
 on the stand, 383–384
- Drug use
 competency issue, 421
 evaluating crime characteristics, 24
 as guilt-diminishing factor, 215
 initial assessment of subject, 140
 misinterpretation of behavior and, 144–145
- DSM-IV (*Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*), 38
- Duckworth v. Eagan*, 408, 430
- Dunaway v. New York*, 409–410
- Dunson v. State*, 439
- Duress, 347–348
- Dynamic posture, 122
- E**
- Early responses, 118
- Edwards v. Arizona*, 404, 432
- Edwards v. State*, 439
- Ekman, P., 104
- Electronic monitoring of interviews
 maintaining privacy while, 47–51
 recording confessions, 325
- Eliminating suspect from suspicion, 168
- Embarrassment. *See* Shame
- Embezzlement cases
 blaming government, 230
 exaggerating crime details, 235–237
 responding to denials in, 266
 statistics, 213–214
 suggesting morally acceptable motivation, 215–216
 using visual aid in, 285
- Emotional impairment, 32
- Emotional involvement
 interrogator qualifications, 64
 of investigator, 13–14
- Emotional objections, 278
- Emotional offenders
 defined, 185–186
 procedures for, 203–209
- Emotions
 absence from confession, 358–360
 associated with deception, 106
 avoiding charged terminology, 304
 clarifying open account, 92
 common to both truthful and deceptive subjects, 142–143
 evaluating facial expressions, 134–135
 illustrating behavior, 128
 indications of truthfulness/deception, 90–91
 misinterpretation of behavior, 150
- Employee theft
 evaluating crime characteristics, 27–28
 principles for investigation, 31
 statistics, 213–214
- Environment
 blaming, 230, 251
 formal vs. informal interviews, 73–74
 for interrogation, 5
 for interview, 4
 interview room privacy. *See* Interview room privacy
- Erasure behavior, 120–121
- Escort, 58
- Estimated time of death, 12
- Estimation phrases, 115–116
- Evaluating behavior. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
- Evaluating denials. *See* Handling denials
- Evasive responses
 asking follow-up questions, 97–98
 avoiding in testimony, 390
 evaluating verbal behavior, 112
- Evidence
 approaches to be avoided, 205–206
 asking bait question, 174
 case folder, 191–192
 circumstantial. *See* Circumstantial evidence
 deception, 428
 eliciting corroborated confession, 306–308
 evaluating voluntary confessions, 349–350
 in interviews vs. interrogations, 6
 as likely indicator of guilt, 13
 misinterpretation of behavior and, 144
 procuring after confession, 322–323
 responding to requests for, 274
 revealing to suspect, 244
 supplementing confession, 320–321
 use in handling denials from guilty, 269–271
 using as visual aid, 285
 using fictitious, 352

- Exaggerated victim claims
 evaluating crime characteristics, 28
 pointing out possibility as interrogation technique, 232–237
- Exceptions to *Miranda*, 412–413
- Excuses
 approaches to be avoided, 205–207
 overcoming objections. *See* Overcoming objections
 procedures for emotional offenders, 203–204
 specific themes, 209–210
- Experience in assessing behavior symptoms, 103
- Expert witnesses, 367–369
- Explanation for events, 92
- Extrinsic deception, 427–428
- Eye contact
 in behavioral pause, 196
 cultural differences in, 151
 evaluating behavior, 134–136
 identifying proper theme through analyzing, 208
 indications of upcoming denial, 259
 investigator demeanor, 59
 procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 284–285
 in response to passive mood, 291
 withdrawal from interrogation, 282
- Eyewitness identifications, 35–37
- F**
- Face-saving motives
 presenting alternative question, 294
 theme development, 214–219
- Facial expressions
 evaluating nonverbal behavior, 134–136
 signs of resignation, 292–293
- Fact analysis
 accuracy of corroboration, 356–360
 antisocial personalities and, 149
 defined, 9
 interrogating non-emotional offenders, 186
- Fact-givers
 defined, 9
 interviewing, 13–14
- Factual objections, 278
- False accusations
 of investigator misconduct, 48
 protecting the innocent, 35–37
 themes which utilize, 233–234
- False confessions
 categories of, 340–343
 in courtroom, 367–372
 cross-examination, 394
 distinguishing between true and. *See* Confessions, distinguishing between true and false
 explanation for, 357–358
 statistics, 362–367
- Family life, 250–251
- Fantasies, 159–160
- Fare v. Michael C.*, 418
- Faulty memory syndrome, 341, 350–351
- Fears
 addressing in introductory statement, 80–82
 in both truthful and deceptive subjects, 142
- Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5(a), 410–411
- Feelings. *See* Emotions
- Feet
 evaluating nonverbal behavior, 134
 while testifying, 386
- Female stenographer, 313–314
- Fences, 228–229
- Fictitious evidence, 352
- Fight response, 121–122
- Fikes v. Alabama*, 417
- First-person response, 161
- Fisher, R., 153
- Flamer v. Delaware*, 436
- Flattery, 230–232
- Flight response, 121–122
- Florida v. Powell*, 400, 430
- Focus test, 402
- Follow-up call for interrogation, 168
- Follow-up questions
 actual case presentation, 164, 165
 formulating, 97–99
- Foot bouncing, 134
- Form of confessions, 312
- Form of waiver, 408
- Form of written confession, 312–321
- Formal interviews
 arranging, 74–76
 vs. informal interviews, 73–74
 note-taking, 4–5
- Formulating interview questions. *See* Interview questions
- Forward-leaning posture, 125, 127
- Framed defendants, 394–395
- Fraud, 15, 26–27
- Frazier v. Cupp*, 374, 440
- Frazier v. State*, 438
- Free will, 343–344, 348
- Freeman v. State*, 439
- Freeze response, 121–122
- Frequency of criminal behavior, 198
- Friendly-unfriendly act, 271–273
- Frontally aligned posture, 122, 124
- Frozen posture, 125
- Frumkin, Bruce, 372
- Fulero, Solomon, 371
- Functional equivalent test, 400–402, 405
- G**
- Gallegos v. Colorado*, 419–420
- Gallegos v. Nebraska*, 416
- Gaze, 134–135
- Geiselman, Edward, 153
- Gender
 crying and resignation, 293
 theft cases, 214
- Generalization statement, 114
- Genetically inherited behavior
 defined, 121
 grooming gestures, 130
- Gestures. *See* Nonverbal behavior
- Glory grabbers, 25–26
- Greed-motivated thefts, 22–23
- Grooming gestures, 130–132
- Gross, Hans, 295
- GSS (Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale), 372
- Guarded attitude, 109
- Gudjonsson Suggestibility Scale (GSS), 372
- Guidelines for evaluating claims
 of duress, 347–348
- Guidelines for evaluating suspect behavior, 136

- Guidelines for evaluating voluntary confessions, 349–350
- Guidelines for using fictitious evidence, 352
- Guilt**
- accusation in interrogation, 5
 - assumptions in interview procedure, 57
 - assumptive questions and, 178
 - certainty in interrogation, 5–6
 - checking alibi, 18
 - circumstantial and physical evidence, 13
 - defined, 73, 185
 - developing general acknowledgment of, 304–306
 - handling denials. *See* Handling denials
 - indicating certainty of, 192–193
 - intent issues, 39–40
 - investigator objectivity, 79–80
 - memory evaluation, 179–181
 - nonaccusatory nature of interview, 3–4
 - reducing by minimizing moral seriousness of offense, 211–214
 - reporting crime to deflect, 24–25
- Guilty party**
- behavior symptoms. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
 - evaluating denials from, 264–266
 - influence of psychology on confession trustworthiness, 352–354
 - interview procedure, 11
 - responding to stronger, persistent denials from, 271–273
 - responding to weak, qualified or apologetic denials from, 269–271
- Guns, 68
- H**
- Habitual criminal behavior, 147
- Haley v. Ohio*, 416, 436
- Hallucinations, 145
- Hand gestures
- discouraging weak denials from being voiced, 260
 - evaluating nonverbal behavior, 130–134
 - indications of upcoming denial, 259
 - shrug, 128–129
 - while testifying, 386
- Handcuffs, 67
- Handling denials
- anticipating, 258–260
 - attempt to leave interrogation room, 273
 - defined, 188
 - discouraging from being voiced, 260–263
 - from guilty, 264–266
 - from innocent, 264
 - persistent denials of uncertain origin, 266–268
 - principles, 255–257
 - from probably innocent subject, 268–269
 - procedures, 257–258
 - specific, 271
 - statements made by the deceptive, 274–276
 - stronger, persistent denials from guilty, 271–273
 - that are voiced, 263–264
 - weak, qualified or apologetic denials from guilty, 269–271
- Handling suspect's passive mood
- defined, 189
 - principles, 287–288
 - procedures, 288–293
- Harris v. South Carolina*, 436
- Harryman v. Estelle*, 431
- Having suspect orally relate various details of offense
- defined, 189
 - developing general acknowledgment of guilt, 304–306
 - eliciting corroborated confession, 306–308
 - having oral confession witnessed, 308–310
 - principles, 303
 - statement of reinforcement, 303–304
- Health of subject, 140–141
- Heirens, William, 41, 43–44
- Helpfulness
- attitude, 109–110
 - avoiding interrogations which center on, 331
 - vouch question, 158
- Henderson v. DeTella*, 438
- High socioeconomic status, 61–64
- High-end inducements, 368–369
- Hillard v. State*, 439
- Hinckley, John, 411
- Historical context for confession voluntariness, 415–421
- History/you question
- actual case presentation, 163, 166
 - defined, 156–157
- Hit-and-run cases, 210–211, 216
- Homicide
- common distorted motives, 217
 - motives, 22
 - principles for investigation, 31
 - using visual aid in, 285–286
- Homosexuals
- investigator address to, 62
 - minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 212
- Human behavior. *See* Normal human behavior
- Hypothetical questions
- in cross-examination, 395–396
 - in interviews, 98
- I**
- Identifications
- assumptive question, 177
 - fallibility of eyewitness, 35–37
 - investigator, 58
 - investigator to child victim, 81
- Illinois v. Perkins*, 402
- Illustrating behavior, 128–130
- Impatience, 329–330
- Impersonation, 148
- Impertinence, 142
- Implied action phrases, 91
- Implied messages, 344–347
- Impressionistic data, 365–366
- Impulsive criminal behavior, 147, 149
- “In custody”, 402–404. *See also* Custodial suspects
- Incentives for confession
- cross-examination, 393–394
 - duress, 347–348
 - permissible, 344–347
- Incriminating evidence, 6. *See also* Evidence

- Indecent exposure, 217
- Independent corroboration, 355
- Informal interviews, 73–74
- Informant interrogation, 333–337
- Information gathering
 - fact analysis, 9–14
 - formulating questions. *See* Interview questions
 - importance of, 6
 - in interview, 4–5
 - specific information for investigators, 15–18
- Inherited behavior, 121
- Initial assessment of subject, 139–141
- Innocence
 - assumptions in interview procedure, 57
 - checking alibi, 18
 - defined, 73
 - discouraging weak denials from being voiced, 263
 - false confessions. *See* False confessions
 - suspect attitude and, 32
- Innocent
 - behavior symptoms. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
 - evaluating denials from, 264
 - introductory statement for suspect, 79
 - justification for accusatory confrontation, 200–202
 - protecting. *See* Protecting the innocent
 - responding to denials from probably, 268–269
- Inside knowledge, 26–27
- Insincerity, 109
- Insurance fraud
 - common distorted motives, 217
 - evaluating crime characteristics, 26–27
- Intelligence
 - competency issue, 420–421
 - initial assessment of subject, 140
 - interrogating unintelligent suspect, 332–333
 - misinterpretation of behavior, 149
- Intent
 - distorted motives and, 217–218
 - exaggerating, 237
 - protecting the innocent, 39–40
- Internal thefts, 27–28
- Interpreters, 52–53
- Interrogation
 - confessions and, 339–340
 - deception in, 351–352
 - delaying on basis of BAI, 168
 - getting background information before, 17–18
 - going ahead with on basis of BAI, 169
 - vs. interview, 3–7
 - legally defined, 400–402
 - principles for investigation, 31–32
 - privacy. *See* Interview room privacy
 - The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation. *See* The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation
 - research, 362–367
 - responding to subject's attempt to leave, 273
- Interrogation and confession law
 - confession voluntariness, 415–421
 - consent for interrogation, 409–410
 - deception, 426–429
 - footnotes, 430–441
 - interrogation defined, 400–402
 - introduction, 399
 - meaning of custody, 402–404
 - Miranda* rights, 400
 - other *Miranda* considerations, 410–415
 - promises of leniency, 421–425
 - references to polygraph, 429–430
 - right to attorney, 404–407
 - right to remain silent, 409
 - summary, 430
 - threats, 425–426
 - waiver of rights, 407–408
- Interrogator recommendations
 - patience and persistence, 329–333
 - qualifications, 64–65
- for witnesses and prospective informants, 333–337
- Interruption
 - gestures, 258–259
 - response to questions, 88
- Interview preparation
 - arranging formal, 74–76
 - conclusion, 82–83
 - establishing rapport, 77–78
 - footnotes, 83
 - formal vs. informal interviews, 73–74
 - introductory statements, 78–82
 - overview, 76–77
 - preparing room for interrogation, 191
- Interview questions
 - clarifying open account, 92–93
 - conclusion, 99
 - direct questions, 93–96
 - eliciting open question response, 88
 - evaluating open question response, 88–91
 - follow-up questions, 97–99
 - footnotes, 99–100
 - initial open question, 86–87
 - investigator qualifications and, 56–57
 - outlining, 76–77
 - overview, 85–86
 - phrasing open question, 87–88
 - for post-confession, 324
 - response models for behavior-provoking, 154–163
 - specialized techniques. *See* Specialized questioning techniques
- Interview room privacy
 - footnotes, 53–54
 - minimizing reminders of consequences, 45–46
 - overview, 43–45
 - suggestions for setting up, 46–53
- Interview sheet outline, 76–77
- Interviews
 - approaches for difficult subjects, 62–64
 - behavior analysis. *See* BAI (behavior analysis interview)

- electronically recorded, 325
- gathering information prior to, 10–11
- vs. interrogations, 3–7
- investigator demeanor during, 57–62
- investigator procedures, 57
- post-confession, 323–324
- procedure, 11
- solving cases through, 32–33
- victim, 11–12
- Intimidation tactic, 246–250
- Intoxication
 - in coerced internalized confessions, 342
 - evaluating crime characteristics, 24
 - as guilt-diminishing factor, 214–215
- Intrinsic deception, 427–428
- Introductory statements, 78–82
- Investigations
 - confessions are not the end of, 322–323
 - interviews vs. interrogations, 3–7
- Investigative questions
 - in BAI, 154
 - examples of, 156
- Investigators
 - conclusion, 69
 - conduct during interrogation, 65–69
 - deception by, 426–429
 - demeanor during interview, 57–62
 - footnotes, 69
 - interrogator qualifications, 64–65
 - interview approaches for difficult subjects, 62–64
 - interview procedures, 57
 - overview, 55–56
 - qualifications, 56–57
 - specific information for, 15–18
 - testimony. *See* Testifying on confession
- Irrelevant information, 30
- J**
 - J.D.B. v. North Carolina*, 418, 437
 - Jenkins v. Anderson*, 435
 - Jones, Keith, 163–167
- Judge
 - addressing, 385
 - presentment requirement, 410–411
- Jury
 - effect of confessions on, 339
 - need for expert witnesses, 372
 - view of investigator witnesses, 382–385
- Justifications
 - blaming victim, 220–224
 - for direct, positive confrontation, 200–202
 - theme development, 202–203
- Juvenile offenders
 - interrogation law, 418–421
 - pointing out consequences and futility of criminal behavior, 238–239
 - themes for, 250–255
- K**
 - Kassin, S., 364–365
 - Kassin, Saul, 372
 - Kennedy v. Fairman*, 434
 - Kier v. State*, 438
 - Knowledge question
 - actual case presentation, 164
 - defined, 157
 - Kohnken, G., 104
 - Kraut, R.E., 104
- L**
 - Laboratory studies
 - defined, 362
 - interrogation research, 363–365
 - Lamb v. State*, 436
 - Language
 - alternative question, 298
 - asking direct questions, 93–96
 - confessor, 316
 - evaluating verbal behavior, 111–117
 - investigator demeanor, 60–61
 - investigator testimony, 387–388
 - phrasing open questions, 87–88
 - in taking confessions, 315
 - Larceny statistics, 213–214
 - Laughing, 120–121
 - Law. *See* Interrogation and confession law
 - Lawyer, right to, 404–407
 - Leading questions
 - defined, 299–302
 - in taking confessions, 315–316
 - in witness interrogation, 335
 - Leads from seemingly irrelevant information, 30
 - Learned behavior
 - cultural differences in, 150–151
 - defined, 121
 - Leaving interrogation room, 273
 - Leg movements, 134
 - Legal rules. *See also* Interrogation and confession law
 - around promises of leniency, 330–331
 - investigator traits, 56
 - justification for accusatory confrontation, 200–202
 - permissible incentives for confession, 344–347
 - in selecting alternative questions, 295–296
 - Length of interrogation, 347–348
 - Length of response, 118–119
 - Leniency
 - in alternative questions, 296
 - implying, 213
 - interrogation and confession law, 421–425
 - making no promises, 330–331
 - overbearing subject's free will, 343–344
 - pointing out benefits of truth telling, 243
 - responding to requests about, 274–275
 - Leo, Richard
 - court decisions, 370–371
 - on false confessions, 367
 - interrogation research, 366
 - Lewis v. State*, 437
 - Liability issues, 140
 - Lighting interview room, 47
 - Listing, 117, 388
 - Loan sharks, 229
 - Locations for interview, 53
 - Locks on interview room, 46–47
 - Logical appeal, 245
 - Low socioeconomic status, 61–62

- Low-end inducements, 368–369
- Lying
 about crime details, 219
 about evidence, 270–271
 behavior symptom analysis.
 See Behavior symptom analysis
 catching suspect, 62
 in confession, 319
 in corroborated confession,
 356–359
 evaluating response to open
 questions, 88–91
 independent detection, 79
 psychopath's motives for,
 147
 seeking admission of, 240–241
 trapping subject, 178–179
 victims, 28–29
 by young children, 149–150
- M**
- Making mountain out of a mole-
 hill, 397
- Mallory v. United States*, 411, 435
- Manipulative crying, 293
- Markley v. State*, 440
- Maryland v. Shatzer*, 404, 432–433
- Massey v. Indiana*, 437
- Mata v. Martel*, 428
- Maturity, 149
- Mayberry v. State*, 431
- McNabb v. United States*, 410, 435
- McNabb-Mallory Rule*, 411, 415
- McNall, K., 364–365
- McNeil v. Wisconsin*, 406, 414–415,
 436
- Medical information
 initial assessment of subject,
 140–141
 safeguarding effectiveness of
 confession, 322
- Medications, 144–145
- Memory
 absence of emotional details
 from confession,
 358–360
 avoiding themes around,
 275–276
 coerced internalized confes-
 sions, 341–342
 evaluating coerced internalized
 confessions, 350–351
 evaluation, 179–181
- Memory distrust syndrome, 341
- Memory qualifiers
 avoiding in testimony,
 389–390
 in direct questions, 95–96
 evaluating verbal behavior,
 114–115
- Mental illness
 influence on confession trust-
 worthiness, 353
 misinterpretation of behavior
 and, 145–146
 repressed memories and,
 37–38
 voluntary false confessions,
 341
- Mental impairment
 competency issue, 420
 influence on confession trust-
 worthiness, 353–354
 initial assessment of subject,
 140–141
 interrogation of those with,
 332–333
 principles for investigation, 32
 using fictitious evidence and,
 352
- Michigan v. Harvey*, 432
- Michigan v. Mosley*, 433, 440
- Miller v. Fenton*, 374, 441
- Minnesota v. Murphy*, 413, 432
- Miranda* rights, 7, 74–76
 asking purpose questions, 156
 consent for interrogation,
 409–410
 custodial suspects, 74
 deception and, 427
 historical context for, 415–421
 interrogation and confession
 law, 400
 interrogation defined,
 400–402
 of juvenile offenders, 254–255
 meaning of custody, 402–404
Miranda considerations,
 410–415
 note-taking, 322
 preparing for interrogation,
 191
 repeating before confession,
 311–312
 right to attorney, 404–407
 right to remain silent, 409
 testimony preparation,
 380–381
 waiver of rights, 407–408
- Miranda v. Arizona*, 430
 confessions, 311
 custodial suspects, 74
 interrogation and confession
 law, 399–400
- Misinterpretation of behavior
 factors leading to, 144–152
 misleading symptoms,
 198–200
- Missouri v. Siebert*, 407–408, 433
- Moneylenders, 229
- Monitoring interviews, 47–51
- Mood-congruent retrieval, 360
- Moral condemnation
 of accomplice, 220–224
 of anyone with some degree of
 responsibility, 227–230
 of victim, 220–224
- Morales v. United States*, 431
- Morality
 approaches to be avoided,
 205–207
 determining suspect character,
 196–198
 of emotional offenders,
 185–186
 moral objections, 278
 reducing suspect's guilt by
 minimizing offense's
 moral seriousness,
 211–214
 suggesting more morally
 acceptable motivation,
 214–219
 theme development,
 202–203
 of unintelligent uneducated
 suspect, 332–333
- Moran v. Burbine*, 426–427, 441
- Motive
 in anonymous reports, 15
 for antisocial behavior, 147
 asking in transition statement,
 197
 for denials, 269
 evaluating, 22–23
 false accusations, 35–37
 interpreting evidence, 10–11
 lying about, 356
 of non-emotional offenders,
 186
 permissible incentives for con-
 fession, 344–347
 in selecting alternative ques-
 tions, 297

- suggesting more morally acceptable, 214–219
 - for voluntary confession, 349
 - Motive question
 - actual case presentation, 164, 166
 - defined, 160
 - Munoz v. State*, 371
 - Murder. *See* Homicide
 - Murphy v. State*, 433
 - Mutual gaze, 134–135
- N**
- Narcissistic subjects, 63–64
 - Narrative response
 - format of confession, 312–313
 - having suspect orally relate various details of offense, 303–310
 - interrogating witnesses, 334
 - to open question, 86
 - National Institute of Justice (NIJ), 50
 - National Labor Relations Act, 45
 - National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) v. Weingarten*, 53–54
 - National Security Agency (NSA), 102
 - Need-motivated thefts, 22
 - Negative questions, 96
 - Negative supporting statements, 298–302
 - Nervous subjects
 - approach for interviewing, 62–63
 - behavior symptoms, 109
 - both truthful and deceptive, 142
 - initial assessment of subject, 140
 - Neurological disorders, 140
 - Neutral position
 - in interviews, 57
 - as witness, 382–383
 - New York v. Quarles*, 412–413
 - NIJ (National Institute of Justice), 50
 - Nine Steps of Interrogation. *See* The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation
 - Noises in interview room, 46–47
 - Nonaccusatory nature of interview
 - asking direct questions, 96
 - bait question, 171
 - defined, 3–4
 - investigator demeanor, 58–59
 - Noncontracted denials, 117
 - Noncustodial suspects
 - attempts to leave interrogation room, 273
 - consent for interrogation, 409–410
 - formal interview techniques, 74–76
 - interrogation law, 402–403
 - interview preparation, 74
 - passive mood, 289
 - purpose questions, 156
 - Non-descriptive language, 93
 - Non-emotional offenders
 - defined, 186
 - procedures for, 239–240
 - Nonexistent confessions
 - absence of corroborating details, 358
 - defined, 342–343
 - trustworthiness, 354
 - Non-frontally aligned posture, 125, 127
 - Non-threatening questions, 154
 - Nonverbal behavior
 - assessing in behavioral pause, 196
 - channels of communication, 105
 - in context of verbal message, 106–108
 - in denial from guilty, 265
 - in denial from innocent, 264
 - evaluating, 121–122
 - evaluation guidelines, 136
 - facial expressions and eye contact, 134–136
 - feet, 134
 - hands, 128–134
 - identifying proper theme through analyzing, 208
 - indications of upcoming denial, 258–259
 - posture, 122–128
 - psychopath, 148
 - signs of resignation, 291–293
 - on the stand, 384, 385–386
 - studies assessing, 103–104
 - withdrawal from interrogation, 282–283
 - in witness interrogation, 335
 - Normal human behavior
 - diagrams that show, 286
 - establishing subject's, 108
 - initial assessment of subject, 139–141
 - looking for deviations, 136
 - minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 211–212
 - in victim accounts, 28–29
 - North Carolina v. Butler*, 327, 408, 433
 - Note-taking
 - avoiding during interrogation, 67
 - during confession, 306
 - fact analysis, 14
 - in interrogation, 6
 - during interview, 4–5
 - investigator demeanor, 59–60
 - safeguarding effectiveness of confession, 321–322
 - testimony preparation, 380–381
 - NSA (National Security Agency), 102
- O**
- Objection question
 - actual case presentation, 164–165, 167
 - defined, 161–162
 - Objections, overcoming. *See* Overcoming objections
 - Objectivity of investigator
 - importance of, 56–57
 - in introductory statement, 79–80
 - Offenders. *See also* Suspects
 - breaking bond with witnesses, 336–337
 - classification of, 185–189
 - themes for juvenile, 250–255
 - Offensive strategies, 276
 - Ofshe, Richard
 - court decisions, 370
 - interrogation research, 363
 - Omissions
 - asking follow-up questions, 98–99
 - evaluating verbal behavior, 111–112
 - qualifiers, 115
 - One-on-one interviews, 43–45
 - One-way mirrors, 47
 - Open posture, 122–123
 - Open questions
 - clarifying open account, 92–93
 - eliciting full response, 88

- Open questions (*contd.*)
 evaluating response, 88–91
 forming initial, 86–87
 phrasing, 87–88
- Opinion of guilt or innocence, 73, 185
- Opportunity question
 actual case presentation, 166
 defined, 158–159
- Oral confessions, 303–310. *See also*
 Converting oral confession
 into written confession
- Oregon v. Elstad*, 408, 413–414
Oregon v. Mathiason, 425, 431, 440
Orozco v. Texas, 431
- O’Sullivan, M., 104
- Outlining interview questions, 76–77
- Overbearing subject’s free will, 343–344, 426
- Overcoming objections
 defined, 188–189
 principles, 276
 procedures, 276–278
 recognizing objection, 278
 rewarding objection, 279
 turning objection around, 279–281
- Overtime interrogations, 330
- P**
- Pacing, 66
- Paid informants, 15
- Paralinguistic behavior
 causes of misinterpretation of
 behavior, 151–152
 channels of communication, 105
 in context of verbal message, 106–108
 evaluating, 117–121
 in response to passive mood, 290–291
- Parents
 interrogating juvenile
 offenders, 252–254
 placing blame on, 250–251
- Passive mood
 principles for handling, 287–288
 procedures for handling, 288–293
- Passive power crimes, 23
- Patience in interrogation, 329–333
Patterson v. Illinois, 432
- Pause, behavioral, 195–198
- PEACE (Planning and preparation, Engage and explain, Account, Clarification, Challenge, Closure, and Evaluation), 153–154
- Pennsylvania v. Bruder*, 431
People v. Atencio, 423, 426
People v. Benson, 374
People v. Bernasco, 419
People v. Bulger, 440
People v. Cason, 377
People v. Chutan, 428–430
People v. Crews, 371
People v. Deborah C., 436
People v. Eckles, 439
People v. Farnam, 429
People v. Ferran, 434
People v. Ferro, 431
People v. Fuentes, 426
People v. Garcia, 374, 423, 428
People v. Gilliam, 437
People v. Hammock, 412
People v. Harris, 420
People v. Havlin, 435
People v. Hill, 438
People v. Holloway, 374
People v. Hubbard, 439
People v. Jackson, 439
People v. Johnson, 431
People v. Klyaczek, 438
People v. Lowe, 431
People v. Manning, 436
People v. Martinez, 371
People v. Martorano, 439
People v. Mays, 428
People v. McGuire, 438
People v. Moore, 421
People v. Nelson, 372
People v. Racklin, 436
People v. Ramos, 439
People v. Rivera, 433
People v. Rosario, 370
People v. Rubio, 428
People v. Saiz, 437
People v. Savage, 430–431
People v. Smith, 429
People v. Spencer, 439
People v. Stadnick, 440
People v. Vance, 423
People v. Westmorland, 437
People v. Williams, 434
- Perception of witness as adversary, 382–383
- Perkins, Lloyd, 402
- Permission phrase, 260
- Persistence in interrogation, 329–333
- Persistent denials
 responding to stronger from
 guilty, 271–273
 of uncertain origin, 266–268
- Personal gestures, 130
- Personal history questions, 316–317
- Personality disorders, 146–149
- Personality traits
 approaches for difficult sub-
 jects, 62–64
 interrogator qualifications, 64–65
 of investigators, 55–56
 recognizing good in suspect, 68–69
- Persuasion
 evaluating coerced internalized
 confessions, 350–351
 in interrogation, 5
 interrogator qualifications, 64
 in Nine Steps of Interrogation, 187
 permissible incentives for con-
 fession, 346
- Photographs
 safeguarding effectiveness of
 confession, 322
 supplementing confession, 320–321
- Phrasing
 alternative question, 298
 direct questions, 93–96
 open questions, 87–88
- Physical abuse memories, 37–38
- Physical coercion, 347–348
- Physical evidence
 as likely indicator of guilt, 13
 supplementing confession, 320–321
 using as visual aid, 285
- Physical impairment
 assessment of subject, 140–141
 principles for investigation, 32
- Physician’s estimated time of
 death, 12
- Physiological response to decep-
 tion, 106
- Planning and preparation, Engage
 and explain, Account,
 Clarification, Challenge,

- Closure, and Evaluation (PEACE), 153–154
- Playing co-offenders against each other, 246–250
- Poe, D., 104
- Police interrogation, 366. *See also* Interrogation
- Police interview room privacy. *See* Interview room privacy
- Police interviews. *See* Interviews
- Police officer safety, 412–413
- Police-speak, 387–388
- Polygraphs
 - approaches to be avoided, 205
 - behavior symptoms and, 102
 - determining intent, 39–40
 - development of BAI, 154
 - Miranda* rights, 401
 - references to, 429–430
 - responding to denials of uncertain origin, 267
- Poor individuals, 61–62
- Positive confrontation. *See* Direct, positive confrontation
- Positive supporting statements, 298–302
- Post-confession interviews, 323–324
- Posture of investigator
 - during interrogation, 65
 - during interview, 58
 - for procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 284
 - while testifying, 386
- Posture of suspect
 - evaluating nonverbal behavior, 122–128
 - identifying proper theme through analyzing, 208
 - shifting in chair, 134
 - signs of resignation, 291–292
 - withdrawal from interrogation, 282–283
- Power-motivated crimes, 23
- Pratt v. State*, 436
- Precautions in behavior symptom analysis. *See* Behavior symptom analysis precautions
- Precautions when dealing with juvenile offenders, 254–255
- Prejudiced information, 13–14
- Premature *Miranda* warnings, 411
- Preparation
 - evaluating crime characteristics, 26–27
 - interrogation, 191–192
 - interview. *See* Interview preparation
 - testimony, 380–382
 - written confession, 312–321
- Presenting alternative question
 - conclusion, 302–303
 - defined, 189
 - to elicit corroborated confession, 306–308
 - presenting alternative question, 298–302
 - principles, 293–294
 - selecting alternative question, 294–298
- Presentment requirement, 410–411
- Pretense for interrogation, 196–198
- Pride, 230–232
- Primary incentive for confession, 347
- Primary themes, 219
- Prime suspects, 74–75
- Principles
 - behavior symptom analysis, 104–108
 - converting oral confession into written confession, 310
 - of direct, positive confrontation, 192–193
 - handling denials, 255–257
 - handling suspect's passive mood, 287–288
 - having suspect orally relate various details of offense, 303
 - investigation, 30–32
 - overcoming objections, 276
 - presenting alternative question, 293–294
 - procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 281–282
 - theme development, 202–203
- Privacy
 - informal interview, 74
 - interview room. *See* Interview room privacy
 - witness, 335
- Private security officers, 413
- Probation officers, 413
- Procedures
 - direct, positive confrontation, 193–195
 - for emotional offenders, 203–209
 - handling denials, 257–258
 - handling suspect's passive mood, 288–293
 - interview, 57
 - for non-emotional offenders, 239–240
 - overcoming objections, 276–278
 - procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 282–283
 - theme development. *See* Theme development
- Procurement and retention of suspect's attention
 - chair proximity, 283–284
 - defined, 189
 - eye contact, 284–285
 - principles, 281–282
 - procedures, 282–283
 - rhetorical questions, 286–287
 - use of visual aids in, 285–286
- Promises of leniency
 - deception in false confessions, 352
 - interrogation and confession law, 421–425
 - making no, 330–331
 - overbearing subject's free will, 343–344
 - permissible incentives for confession, 345–346
 - in portrayal of interrogation, 368–369
- Promises of secrecy, 424–425
- Props, 192
- Prosecutor
 - implying conspiracy, 394–395
 - meeting with, 382
- Prospective informants, 333–337
- Protecting the innocent
 - circumstantial evidence, 40–41
 - eyewitnesses and false accusations, 35–37
 - footnotes, 42
 - intent issues, 39–40
 - repressed memories, 37–38
 - witnesses, 336
- Protective gestures, 132–134

- Psychiatric health. *See also* Mental illness
 initial assessment of subject, 140–141
 misinterpretation of behavior and, 145–146
- Psychology
 of condemning others, 220
 of confession, 295, 303
 of cooperative witness, 334
 of emotional offender, 203–209
 exaggerating intent, 237
 of friendly-unfriendly act, 272
 influence on confession trustworthiness, 352–354
 of juvenile offenders, 254–255
 of non-emotional offender, 239–240
 producing false confessions, 366–367
 of specific themes, 209–210
 of unintelligent uneducated suspect, 332–333
 of withdrawing from interrogation, 282
- Psychopaths, 146–149
- Psychotherapy, 37–38
- Public Safety exception to *Miranda*, 412–413
- Public welfare, 200–202
- Punishment question
 actual case presentation, 165, 167
 defined, 160–161
- Purpose questions
 actual case presentation, 163, 165
 defined, 155–156
- Q**
- Qualifications
 interrogator, 64–65
 investigator, 56–57
- Qualified responses
 asking follow-up questions, 98
 evaluating verbal behavior, 114–116
 responding to denials from guilty, 269–271
- Question-and-answer format of confession, 312–313
- Questions
 asking rhetorical, 286–287
 avoiding leading, 315–316
 for establishing confession admissibility, 391–393
 interrogation. *See* Interrogation interview. *See* Interview questions
 personal history, 316–317
 presenting alternative. *See* Presenting alternative question
 witness's behavioral responses to, 385–390
- Quick v. State*, 437
- R**
- R. v. Amos*, 377, 422, 439
R. v. Oickle, 326, 374, 377, 422–423, 439
- Radelet, M., 340, 341
- Rape, 217. *See also* Sexual offenses
- Rapport
 establishing in interview, 77–78
 interviewing nervous subjects, 62–63
- Rational corroboration, 355–356
- Rationalizations, 202–203
- Reading confession, 317–318
- Realistic attitude
 credibility question, 158
 in subject, 110
- Recognition
 objection, 278
 signs of resignation, 291
 withdrawal from interrogation, 282–283
- Recommendations for interrogation
 patience and persistence, 329–333
 for witnesses and prospective informants, 333–337
- Recording interviews
 converting oral confession into written confession, 325
 purpose of, 50
 using devices during confession, 306
- Redd v. State*, 424
- Rehearsed responses
 avoiding in testimony, 387–389
 evaluating verbal behavior, 116–117
 memory evaluation, 180
- Reid, John
 BAI, 154
 behavior symptom analysis, 102
 Nine Steps of Interrogation, 187
- The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation
 brief analysis of, 189–190
 converting oral confession into written confession. *See* Converting oral confession into written confession
 footnotes, 325–327
 general classification of offenders, 185–189
 handling denials. *See* Handling denials
 handling suspect's passive mood, 287–293
 having suspect orally relate various details of offense, 303–310
 overcoming objections. *See* Overcoming objections
 preliminary preparations, 191–192
 presenting alternative question. *See* Presenting alternative question
 procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 281–287
 step 1. *See* Direct, positive confrontation
 theme development. *See* Theme development
- The Reid Technique of Interviewing and Interrogation. *See also* Interrogation; Interviews
 vs. defense portrayal of interrogation, 368
 defined, 50
 survey data on, 366
- Re-interviewing suspects, 267–268
- Relationship marked by conformity, 78
- Relaxed posture, 122–123
- Reluctant witnesses or informants, 335–337
- Repeated denials, 332
- Repeated *Miranda* warnings, 411–412

- Repetitive hand behavior, 130
 Reporting crime
 to deflect guilt, 24–25
 glory grabbers, 25–26
 Repressed memories, 37–38
 Request for attorney, 404–407
 Research, interrogation, 362–367
 Resentment, 143
 Resignation
 in both truthful and deceptive subjects, 143
 recognizing signs of, 291
 Respect for suspect, 67
 Response latency, 117–118
 Response to questions
 analyzing subject, 167–169
 asking follow-up questions, 97–99
 behavior symptoms and, 102
 eliciting full, 88
 evaluating, 88–91
 evaluating paralinguistic behavior, 117–121
 evaluating subject's bait question, 174–175
 evaluating verbal behavior, 111–117
 investigator testimony, 387–390
 response models for behavior-provoking questions, 154–163
 witness's behavioral, 385–390
 Responsibility
 blaming accomplice, 224–227
 blaming anyone upon whom moral responsibility can be placed, 227–230
 blaming victim, 220–224
 criminal, 205
 nonexistent confessions, 342–343
 social, 149
 Results question
 actual case presentation, 164, 166
 defined, 162
 Retaining suspect's attention. *See* Procurement and retention of suspect's attention
 Retaliation against witnesses, 336
 Reticence, 142
 Retracted confessions
 accuracy of corroboration, 357–358
 coerced internalized confessions, 340
 importance of documentation, 310–311
 witnessing, 308
 Retreated posture, 125, 126
 Reviewing testimony, 381–382
Revis v. State, 426
 Rewarding objections, 279
 Rhetorical questions, 286–287
Rhode Island v. Innis, 401, 430
 Right to attorney, 404–407
 Right to remain silent, 409
 Rights. *See* *Miranda* rights
 Robberies
 blaming fences, 228–229
 condemning accomplice, 225–226
 condemning victim, 222
 evaluating motives, 22–23
 suggesting morally acceptable motivation, 215
 victim interviews, 11–12
Robinson v. Smith, 440
Rogers v. Richmond, 417
 Role reversal, 290
- S**
 Sawyer, Tom, 342
 Scene of the crime, 241–243
Scott v. Epps, 433
 Scratching, 130–131
 Seating
 arranging interview room, 47–48
 distance from subject in interrogation, 65–66
 distance from subject in interview, 58
 for procurement and retention of suspect's attention, 283–284
 responding to attempts to leave interrogation room, 273
 third parties in interview room, 52
 Second chance question
 actual case presentation, 165, 167
 defined, 161
 Secrecy promises, 424–425
 Section 3501, 415–416
 Security codes, 24
 Security officers, 413
 Selecting alternative question, 294–298
 Self-esteem, 147
 Sequence of interrogation, 188
 Sexual offenses
 asking assumptive questions, 175–177
 blaming anyone upon whom moral responsibility can be placed, 228
 blaming victim, 221–222
 common distorted motives, 216–217
 eyewitnesses and false accusations, 36–37
 interviewing child victims, 15
 introductory statement for victims, 80–82
 minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 211–213
 power-motivated crimes, 23
 principles for investigation, 31
 repressed memories of, 37–38
 sympathizing with suspect, 211
 think question, 160
 using visual aid in, 285–286
 Shackles, 67
Shahzade v. Gregory, 42
 Shame
 grooming gestures, 130–132
 minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 212
 misleading symptoms following confrontation, 199
 psychopath victim's feelings of, 147–148
 theft cases, 214
 Sidestepping objections, 281
 Signing confessions, 314–315, 317–318
 Signing witness statements, 334
 Signs of resignation, 291
 Silence
 behavioral pause, 195–196
 due to note-taking, 60
 forced, 88
 right to remain, 409
 Sincerity, 109
 Sketches
 by fact-giver, 14
 supplementing confession, 320–321
 Slouched posture, 126

- Smiling, 120
- Smith v. Duckworth*, 420
- Smith v. Mullin*, 438
- Smith v. State*, 426, 439
- Smoking, 58
- Social responsibility, 149
- Social rules, 134–135
- Social space, 151
- Socioeconomic status
- interrogating unintelligent uneducated suspect, 333
 - interviewing narcissistic subjects, 63–64
 - of subjects, 61–62
- Solving case. *See* Case solution
- Spano v. New York*, 417
- Specialized questioning techniques
- assumptive questions, 176–178
 - baiting, 171–176
 - footnotes, 181
 - memory evaluation, 179–181
 - trapping subject in lie, 178–179
- Specific denials
- evaluating verbal behavior, 113–114
 - in guilty, 265–266
 - responding to, 271
- Spontaneous attitude, 109
- Spontaneous responses
- evaluating verbal behavior, 116–117
 - investigator testimony, 388–389
- Stansbury v. California*, 432
- Staring, 135
- State v. Aguirre*, 441
- State v. Alston*, 433
- State v. Biron*, 440
- State v. Branch*, 431
- State v. Cayward*, 325, 428
- State v. C.D.*, 437
- State v. Chirokovskic*, 441
- State v. Clark*, 434
- State v. Dassey*, 376
- State v. Edwards*, 432
- State v. Evans*, 425
- State v. Featherbat*, 439
- State v. F.G.H.*, 437
- State v. Finehout*, 431, 439
- State v. Griffin*, 420
- State v. Grisby*, 431
- State v. Guzman-Gomez*, 431
- State v. Hunt*, 437
- State v. Johnson*, 441
- State v. Kelekolio*, 427
- State v. Kenney*, 420
- State v. Ladd*, 431
- State v. Lamonica*, 370
- State v. Linn.*, 438
- State v. McLean*, 431
- State v. Mitchell*, 433
- State v. Moses*, 420
- State v. Moulds*, 433
- State v. Murphy*, 433–434
- State v. Nunn*, 438
- State v. Parker*, 374, 423
- State v. Patton*, 441
- State v. Perez*, 373
- State v. Pies*, 426
- State v. Quicke*, 431
- State v. Roadenbaugh*, 436
- State v. Shifflett*, 434
- State v. Stoddard*, 433
- State v. Tapke*, 376
- State v. Tardiff*, 439
- State v. Weinacht*, 434
- State v. Wooden*, 371
- Statement of reinforcement, 303–304
- Statements against self-interest, 116
- Static posture, 125
- Statistics
- false confession, 340, 341
 - interrogation research, 362–367
 - memory of crime, 359
 - theft cases, 213–214
- Stenographers
- preserving notes, 321
 - recording confession, 313–314
- Stepping down, 268
- Steps of Interrogation. *See* The Reid Nine Steps of Interrogation
- “Stop” hand gesture, 260
- “Stop-and-start” behavior, 120
- Stroble v. California*, 416
- Strong denials, 257–258
- Studies of interrogation, 362–366
- Subject data sheet, 140–141
- Subject responses. *See* Response to questions
- Subjects. *See* Suspects
- Supporting gestures, 132–134
- Supporting statements, 298–302
- Suppression of confession, 391–393. *See also* Interrogation and confession law
- Supreme Court. *See* U.S. Supreme Court
- Surveys
- defined, 362
 - interrogation research, 365–366
- Suspects
- analyzing responses, 167–169
 - approaches for difficult, 62–64
 - behavior. *See* Behavior
 - custodial. *See* Custodial suspects
 - establishing rapport, 77–78
 - evaluating crime characteristics, 24–30
 - evaluating motives, 22–23
 - getting information about, 16
 - interrogation. *See* Interrogation
 - interview procedure, 11
 - interviews vs. interrogations, 3–7
 - introductory statement for, 79–80
 - need for privacy, 43–45
 - noncustodial. *See* Noncustodial suspects
 - principles for investigation, 30–32
 - recognizing good in, 68–69
 - socioeconomic status, 61–62
- Suspicion
- eliminating suspect from, 168
 - as investigator attribute, 56
- Suspicion question
- actual case presentation, 164, 166
 - defined, 157–158
- Sweet v. State*, 438
- Sympathizing with suspect
- in alternative questions, 297–298
 - by condemning accomplice, 224–230
 - by condemning victim, 220–224
 - in friendly-unfriendly act, 271–273
 - during interrogation, 67
 - in response to passive mood, 289
 - by saying anyone else would do same thing, 210–211
- Systemic inducements, 368–369
- T**
- Tagging direct question, 95
- Tasmanian Police Department, 50–51

- Tatum v. State*, 439
- Telling loved ones
 about interview, 162–163
 actual case presentation, 165, 167
 evaluating voluntary confessions, 349
- Telling the truth. *See* Truth telling
- Tells, 130
- Terminology
 avoiding emotionally charged, 304
 minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 212
 in taking confessions, 315
 using incriminating words, 305
- Testifying on confession
 conclusion, 397–398
 court's view of witness, 382–385
 cross-examination, 393–397
 direct testimony, 390–393
 overview, 379–380
 preparation, 380–382
 witness's behavioral responses to questions, 385–390
- Testimony of expert witnesses, 367–369
- Testing behavior, 148
- Theft cases
 admissions of lying in, 241
 blaming anyone upon whom moral responsibility can be placed, 228
 common distorted motives, 216–217
 condemning victim, 222–223
 evaluating motives, 22–23
 exaggerating crime details, 235–237
 minimizing moral seriousness, 213–214
 possibility of insurance fraud, 26–27
 principles for investigation, 31
- Theme development
 in alternative questions, 296–297
 appeal to suspect's pride with flattery, 230–232
 conclusion, 302–303
 content of statements and, 288
 defined, 188
 discuss benefits of truth telling, 243
 handling denials during, 258
- have suspect place himself at scene of crime, 241–243
 playing one co-offender against the other, 246–250
 point out futility of resistance to truth telling, 243–245
 point out grave consequences and futility of criminal behavior, 238–239
 point out possibility of exaggeration on part of accuser or victim, 232–237
 principles, 202–203
 procedures for emotional offenders, 203–209
 procedures for non-emotional offenders, 239–240
 reducing suspect's guilt by minimizing offense's moral seriousness, 211–214
 seek admission of lying, 240–241
 specific themes, 209–210
 suggesting more morally acceptable motivation, 214–219
 sympathizing with suspect by condemning accomplice, 224–230
 sympathizing with suspect by condemning victim, 220–224
 sympathizing with suspect saying anyone else would do same thing, 210–211
 themes for juvenile offenders, 250–255
 turning objection around, 279–281
- Think question
 actual case presentation, 164, 166
 defined, 159–160
- Third parties in interview room, 51–53
- Third-person responses, 161–162
- Third-person themes, 208–209
- Thoughts
 asking rhetorical questions, 286–287
 clarifying open account, 92
 indications of truthfulness/deception, 90–91
 think question, 159–160
- Threats
 in alternative questions, 295
 deception in false confessions, 352
 duress, 348
 interrogation and confession law, 425–426
 overbearing subject's free will, 343–344
 permissible incentives for confession, 345–346
- Threshold questions, 400
- Throat clearing, 120–121
- Time
 evaluating nonverbal behavior, 136
 eyewitness identifications and, 36
 how long did crime take to commit?, 24
 indications of truthfulness/deception, 90–91
 for interrogation, 310
- Time of death estimation, 12
- Tompson v. Wainwright*, 433
- Tone
 discouraging weak denials from being voiced, 260
 evaluating paralinguistic behavior, 119
 interrogating unintelligent suspect, 333
 investigator, 58–59
 in response to passive mood, 290
- Totality of circumstances
 deception and, 426–427
 defined, 361
 interrogation law, 417–418
 use in juvenile cases, 418–419
- Training in behavior symptom analysis, 151–152
- Transition statements
 defined, 188
 overview and examples, 196–198
- Trapping subject in lie, 178–179
- Troubled conscience, 185–186
- True confessions. *See* Confessions, distinguishing between true and false
- Trustworthiness
 confession voluntariness, 416–417

- Trustworthiness (*contd.*)
 distinguishing between true and false confessions, 348–354
 establishing rapport, 77–78
 interrogator qualifications, 64
- Truth as purpose of interrogation, 5–6, 186–187
- Truth telling
 discussing benefits of, 243
 evaluating verbal behavior, 111–112
 in interrogation, 6
 interviews vs. interrogations, 7
 permissible incentives for confession, 344–347
 point out futility of resistance to, 243–245
 promises regarding, 275
 responding to passive mood, 289–290
- Truthful subjects
 behaviors common to both deceptive and, 142–143
 introductory statement for witnesses, 82
 response models for behavior-provoking questions, 155–163
- Truthfulness
 behavior symptom analysis. *See* Behavior symptom analysis
 evaluating response to open questions, 88–89
 in investigator testimony, 379–380
 make no promises in exchange for, 330–331
 memory evaluation, 179–181
 minimizing reminders of consequences, 45–46
 in response to open question, 86–87
 seeking admission of lying, 240–241
 silence during interview, 60
 of victim accounts, 28–29
 in victim interviews, 11–12
- Turner v. Pennsylvania*, 436
- Turning objection around, 279–281
- U**
- Unconcerned attitude, 110
- Uncooperative attitude, 110–111
- Undercover law enforcement agents, 402
- Understanding
 in friendly-unfriendly act, 271–273
 promises of leniency and, 422–423
 in response to passive mood, 289
 sympathizing with suspect, 210–211
- Uneducated suspects, 332–333
- Unhelpful attitude, 109–110
- Uniforms, 57–58
- Unintelligent suspects
 competency issue, 420–421
 interrogation, 332–333
- United States Supreme Court. *See* U.S. Supreme Court
- United States v. Alarcon*, 438
- United States v. Alvarez-Sanchez*, 416, 435
- United States v. Bennett*, 401
- United States v. Charlton*, 434
- United States v. Dominguez-Gabriel*, 374, 423
- United States v. Downing*, 431
- United States v. Duke*, 434
- United States v. Estrada*, 436
- United States v. Frank*, 438
- United States v. Garibay*, 438
- United States v. Gomez*, 401–402
- United States v. Guido*, 431, 440
- United States v. Hackley*, 431
- United States v. Harris*, 421
- United States v. Lame*, 433
- United States v. Masburn*, 439
- United States v. Mobley*, 435
- United States v. Reynolds*, 440
- United States v. Rutledge*, 437
- United States v. Teemer*, 431
- United States v. Velasquez*, 440
- United States v. Vinton*, 438
- United States v. Warren*, 431
- Unrealistic attitude
 credibility question, 158
 in subject, 110
- Unreliable information, 15
- Unsigned confessions, 314–315
- Unusual access, 27–28
- Upshaw v. United States*, 410–411
- U.S. House of Representatives, 413
- U.S. Supreme Court
 consent for interrogation, 410
 definition of custody, 402–404
 historical context for confession voluntariness, 415–421
 on investigator deception, 426–429
McNeil v. Wisconsin, 414–415
Miranda v. Arizona, 400
Oregon v. Elstad, 408, 413
 presentment requirement, 410–411
 on promises of leniency, 423
 public safety exception to *Miranda*, 412
 right to remain silent, 409
 on threats, 425–426
 waiver of rights, 407–408
- U.S. v. McGinnis*, 372
- U.S. v. Wilson*, 370
- V**
- Vance v. Bordenkircher*, 437
- Vehicles, 24
- Vent v. State*, 370
- Verbal behavior
 channels of communication, 105
 danger of abuse, 13
 evaluating, 111–117
 handling denials. *See* Handling denials
 indications of upcoming denial, 260
 on the stand, 386–390
 in witness interrogation, 335
- Victim interviews
 defined, 11–12
 repressed memories and, 37–38
- Victim mentality, 232
- Victims
 exaggerated claims by, 28
 getting information about, 16–17
 have suspect place himself in contact with, 241–243

- inconsistencies in statement, 360
- introductory statement for, 80–82
 - pointing out possibility of exaggeration, 232–237
 - sympathizing with suspect by condemning, 220–224
 - truthful accounts, 28–29
- Video monitoring of interviews, 47–51
- Vines v. State*, 431
- Visibility of recording devices, 51
- Visual aids
 - getting suspect's attention, 285–286
 - preparing for interrogation, 192
- Voiced denials
 - discouraging weak denials from being, 260–263
 - evaluating, 263–264
- Volume of response to questions, 119
- Voluntary confessions
 - confession law, 415–421
 - importance of, 343–348
 - investigator deception and, 426–429
 - promises of leniency, 421–425
 - references to polygraphs and, 429–430
 - threats and, 425–426
 - trustworthiness, 349–350
- Voluntary false confessions, 340–341
- Voluntary interviews, 74–76
- Vouch question
 - actual case presentation, 164, 166
 - defined, 158
- W**
- Waiver of rights. *See also Miranda* rights
 - for confession, 311–312
 - legally defined, 407–408
- Watts v. Indiana*, 436
- Weak denials
 - discouraging from being voiced, 260–263
 - following confrontation, 257
 - responding to from guilty, 269–271
- Weapons
 - crime characteristics, 24
 - during interrogation, 68
- White v. State*, 440
- Winking, 120
- Withdrawal from interrogation, 282
- Witnesses
 - behavioral responses to questions, 385–390
 - court's view of investigator, 382–385
 - eyewitness identifications, 35–37
 - having oral confession witnessed, 308–310
 - interrogation recommendations, 333–337
 - introductory statement for, 82
 - involved in crime, 24–26
 - to written confession, 318
- Wounds, 24
- Wright, Dr., 371
- Written accounts
 - converting oral into written confession. *See* Converting oral confession into written confession
 - memory evaluation, 180
 - playing co-offenders against each other, 248
 - testimony preparation, 380–381
 - from victims, 80–81
- Wrongful intent, 39–40
- Wrongly accused, 200–202
- Y**
- Young children. *See* Child subjects
- Young offenders
 - interrogation law, 418–421
 - pointing out consequences and futility of criminal behavior, 238–239
 - themes for, 250–255
 - using fictitious evidence, 352